IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.R.RAMAN

THURSDAY, THE 24TH MAY 2007 / 3RD JYAISHTA 1929

RP.No. 669 of 2006()

AGAINST THE ORDER IN CRP.2688/1992 DATED 14/09/2004

.....

PETITIONER/1ST PETITIONER & PETITONERS 3 T0 6

---

1. VIRUPPIL KANDATHI,
   RESIDING AT VIRUPPIL HOUSE,
   P.O.GOVINDAPURAM, KOZHIKODE-16.

2. VIRUPPIL DASAN (DIED).

3. V.LAKSHMI, W/O.LATE V.DASAN,
   RESIDING AT VIRUPPIL HOUSE,
   P.O.GOVINDAPURAM, KOZHIKODE-16.

4. V.VINU, D/O.LATE V.DASAN,
   THARAVAD AT VIRUPPIL HOUSE,
   P.O.GOVINDAPURAM, KOZHIKODE-16.

5. V.VINOD, S/O.LATE V.DASAN,
   RESIDING AT VIRUPPIL HOUSE,
   P.O.GOVINDAPURAM, KOZHIKODE-16.

6. V.BABY, D/O.LATE V. DASAN,
   AGED 26 (NOW MAJOR), THARAVAD AT VIRUPPIL HOUSE,
   P.O.GOVINDAPURAM, KOZHIKODE-16.

BY ADV. SRI.PHILIP ANTONY CHACKO

RESPONDENTS: RESPONDENTS

---

1. RADHA AMMA, RESIDIGN AT VALAYANAD
   AMSOM AND DESOM, KOZHIKODE TALUK.

2. SATYALAKSHMI AMMA (SUPPLEMENTAL),
VALAYANAD AMSOM AND DESOM,
KOZHIKODE TALUK.

3. P.LEELA AMMA, (SUPPLEMENTAL),
VALAYANAD AMSOM AND DESOM, KOZHIKODE TALUK.

4. P.RADH AMMA, (SUPLEMENTAL),
VALAYANAD AMSOM & DESOM,
KOZHIKODE TALUK.

5. SARASA AMMA, (SUPPLEMENTAL),
VALAYANAD AMSOM & DESOM,
KOZHIKODE TALUK.

6. STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY ITS CHIEF SECRETARY,
SECRETARIATE, GOVERNMENT OF KERALA,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.THOMAS ANTONY

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 24/05/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

P.R. RAMAN, J.

== =======

R.P. NO. 669 OF 2006 IN C.R.P. 2688/1992

========== ==== =======

DATED THIS, THE 24TH DAY OF MAY, 2007.

O R D E R

This is a petition seeking review of the order in C.R.P. 2688/1992. It is submitted by Sri. Philip Antony Chacko, the learned counsel for the review petitioners that the matter has been compromised between the parties and as such there is no necessity to proceed further with this review petition. He also sought permission to withdraw the review petition.

Accordingly, permission as sought for is granted and this review petition is dismissed as withdrawn in view of the compromise between the parties.

P.R. RAMAN,
(JUDGE)

knc/-